

JMeterGuiTestElementSeparation

JMeter GUI Classes and TestElements

Problem (Oliver, please correct) - JMeter [TestElements](#) are currently saved with a String that provides the name of the GUI class associated with it. This is what allows JMeter to provide the correct GUI for a [TestElement](#). This creates a problem for anyone who wants to create test scripts outside of JMeter, because only the GUI components know which [TestElement](#) they work for.

Solution - Create an external mapping that associates each [TestElement](#) class with a GUI class. This mapping would be available to anyone to read and use for the purpose of making JMeter test scripts. Also part of this solution is changing the way [TestElements](#) are created in JMeter's client app.

- Need specific steps and description of how [TestElement](#) creation will be handled
-

Problems with the solution

1. Creating a 1:1 mapping of test elements to gui objects will end the current practice of allowing a single [TestElement](#) class to be served by multiple GUI classes. This will require that every config element be given it's own, essentially content-less class. It's inconvenient to have to make a new class just for [NameSpace](#) reasons.
 2. It prevents a developer from providing an alternate GUI view of a [TestElement](#). It would be a nice touch to have two versions of the HTTPSampler view - one that shows all the options, and one quick-and-dirty view that just has a URL field.
 3. When writing a new component for JMeter, a new task has been added to the developer's list of tasks that need doing: update the config file that provides the mappings.
-

Solutions for the problems

1. Regarding probs 1,2: forget about allowing multiple GUI's serve the same [TestElement](#). It's a minor inconvenience to have to create new empty classes for each new GUI.
2. If a tool was written that searched through JMeter's jars and compiled an XML document that specified all the necessary information, it would greatly reduce the work a developer would have to do.
3. Does the mapping have to be reversible? Surely all an external test developer needs is to provide a valid GUI for a given test element? In which case, all that needs to be done is to provide a *preferred* GUI class for each test element.[sebb](#)